

Questions related to William Rehnquist's Dissent in *Bellotti*

1. Based on prior Supreme Court decisions, what type of "person" rights had business corporations been entitled to and what type had they not been entitled to?
2. What was the new constitutional question presented in *Bellotti*?
3. Describe the "broad consensus of governmental bodies expressed over a period of many decades" that Rehnquist believes is deserving of "considerable deference."
4. Did Chief Justice Marshall believe that corporations possess the same rights as people? Explain.
5. How do corporate attributes such as perpetual life and limited liability "pose special dangers in the political sphere"?
6. According to Rehnquist, when the government grants special privileges to a form of organization, does it make sense for the government to be able to regulate that form?
7. What did the Massachusetts' law specifically prevent a corporation from doing?
8. Would it be acceptable to Rehnquist for a CEO (a corporation's Chief Executive Officer) to use his or her own money, rather than his corporation's money, to run a political ad?